

BEYOND PROXY WARFARE: STRATEGIC COMPETITION BETWEEN ISRAEL AND IRAN IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY

Mehar Sandhu

PhD Research Scholar, School of Social Sciences, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, India

ABSTRACT

The strategic competition between Israel and Iran has become one of the defining geopolitical rivalries of the twenty-first century. While the relationship has historically been interpreted through the framework of proxy warfare, recent developments indicate a significant transformation in the nature and scope of the conflict. The rivalry now extends beyond indirect military engagements to encompass nuclear deterrence, cyber operations, intelligence competition, economic sanctions, maritime security, technological innovation, diplomatic realignments, and regional power projection. These evolving dimensions reflect broader changes in the international security environment, where states increasingly employ a combination of conventional and non-conventional instruments to advance national interests while avoiding large-scale interstate war. This paper examines the evolution of Israel–Iran strategic competition from its historical origins to its contemporary manifestations, arguing that proxy warfare alone is insufficient to explain the complexity of the bilateral relationship. Drawing upon qualitative analysis of scholarly literature, policy reports, and official documents, the study explores the principal strategic drivers sustaining the rivalry and evaluates their implications for regional stability and global security. The paper concludes that Israel–Iran competition has evolved into a multidimensional strategic contest whose consequences extend beyond the Middle East, influencing global energy security, international diplomacy, technological competition, and the emerging multipolar international order.

KEYWORDS: *Israel, Iran, Strategic Competition, Middle East Security, Regional Geopolitics, Cyber Warfare, Nuclear Diplomacy, International Relations, Maritime Security, Multipolarity.*

Article History

Received: 06 Jul 2026 | Revised: 13 Jul 2026 | Accepted: 23 Jul 2026

INTRODUCTION

The Middle East has long occupied a central position in international politics because of its strategic geography, abundant energy resources, complex political landscape, and persistent security challenges. The region has witnessed numerous interstate conflicts, civil wars, ideological confrontations, and external interventions that have collectively shaped the global security environment. Among these enduring rivalries, the strategic competition between Israel and Iran has emerged as one of the most significant geopolitical challenges of the twenty-first century. Its implications extend far beyond bilateral relations, influencing regional alliances, global energy markets, nuclear diplomacy, maritime security, and the foreign policies of major international powers.

Prior to the Iranian Revolution of 1979, Israel and Iran maintained cooperative political, economic, and intelligence relations under the leadership of Shah Mohammad Reza Pahlavi. Although Iran did not formally establish full diplomatic recognition comparable to many Western states, pragmatic cooperation between the two countries was substantial. Shared concerns regarding Arab nationalism, Soviet influence, and regional security encouraged collaboration in trade, intelligence sharing, and military affairs. This period of strategic cooperation ended abruptly with the establishment of the Islamic Republic following the Iranian Revolution.

The revolutionary government fundamentally reoriented Iran's foreign policy by rejecting previous ties with Israel and adopting support for the Palestinian cause as a central component of its regional strategy. Israel increasingly came to be viewed by Iranian leaders as an illegitimate state and a symbol of Western influence in the Middle East. Conversely, Israel perceived the emergence of revolutionary Iran as a long-term strategic challenge capable of altering the regional balance of power. These opposing perceptions laid the foundation for a rivalry that has persisted for more than four decades.

Initially, the competition remained largely political and ideological. However, changing regional dynamics gradually transformed the rivalry into a multidimensional strategic contest. The Iran–Iraq War, the rise of non-state armed groups, successive conflicts in Lebanon and Syria, disputes surrounding Iran's nuclear programme, and broader geopolitical transformations all contributed to intensifying bilateral tensions. Over time, both states developed increasingly sophisticated strategies designed to contain each other's regional influence while avoiding the risks associated with direct conventional warfare.

For many years, scholars and policymakers primarily interpreted Israel–Iran relations through the concept of proxy warfare. Iranian support for armed groups across the Middle East and Israeli military operations against these networks became defining features of the conflict. This perspective provided valuable insight into indirect confrontation and regional security dynamics. Nevertheless, recent developments suggest that proxy warfare no longer provides a sufficiently comprehensive explanation of the strategic rivalry.

Contemporary competition between Israel and Iran increasingly incorporates cyber warfare, intelligence operations, unmanned aerial systems, precision-guided missile technology, maritime security operations, economic coercion, information campaigns, and diplomatic realignment. These developments illustrate the changing nature of interstate rivalry in an era characterized by technological innovation, globalization, and renewed great-power competition. Military power remains important, yet it is increasingly integrated with economic, technological, diplomatic, and informational instruments of national power.

Another important transformation concerns the internationalization of the rivalry. The strategic competition between Israel and Iran now intersects with the broader interests of major global powers. The United States has maintained a long-standing strategic partnership with Israel while pursuing varying approaches toward Iran through diplomacy, sanctions, and military deterrence. Russia and China have simultaneously expanded their political and economic engagement with Iran while strengthening their presence within the Middle East. Regional powers, including Saudi Arabia, Türkiye, Qatar, and the United Arab Emirates, have similarly adjusted their strategic calculations in response to changing regional dynamics. Consequently, the Israel–Iran rivalry has become deeply embedded within the evolving multipolar international system.

Technological transformation has further altered the character of the conflict. Artificial intelligence, cyber capabilities, satellite surveillance, electronic warfare, precision strike technologies, and autonomous systems have expanded the strategic options available to both states. The increasing importance of technological superiority demonstrates that modern interstate competition extends well beyond conventional military capabilities. Information dominance, cyber resilience, intelligence gathering, and technological innovation now constitute essential components of national security strategy.

Economic considerations also play an increasingly significant role. International sanctions, financial restrictions, disruptions to maritime trade, and concerns regarding energy security have expanded the rivalry into the economic domain. Given the Middle East's central role in global energy production, instability generated by Israel–Iran tensions can have immediate consequences for international markets, global supply chains, and economic growth. Strategic competition therefore possesses both regional and global dimensions that extend far beyond traditional security concerns.

This paper argues that understanding the contemporary Israel–Iran rivalry requires moving beyond the conventional framework of proxy warfare toward a broader conception of multidimensional strategic competition. Rather than relying exclusively on indirect military confrontation, both states increasingly employ a diverse range of political, technological, economic, diplomatic, intelligence, and military instruments to advance national interests and influence regional security outcomes. Examining these interconnected dimensions provides a more comprehensive understanding of the evolving nature of conflict in the twenty-first century and contributes to broader debates concerning interstate rivalry, regional security, and global governance.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Scholarly literature on Israel–Iran relations has expanded considerably over the past three decades, reflecting the growing significance of the rivalry in regional and international politics. Early studies largely focused on the ideological transformation that followed the Iranian Revolution of 1979, explaining the deterioration of bilateral relations through changes in Iran's political identity and foreign policy orientation. These works emphasized the role of revolutionary ideology, support for the Palestinian cause, and opposition to Israeli regional influence as central determinants of the conflict.

A second body of scholarship examined the security implications of Iran's nuclear programme. Researchers explored questions of nuclear deterrence, non-proliferation, preventive military strategy, and international diplomacy. Considerable attention was devoted to negotiations surrounding Iran's nuclear activities, the role of international institutions, and the impact of economic sanctions on regional stability. This literature established the nuclear issue as one of the principal strategic dimensions of Israel–Iran relations.

A third and highly influential strand of research focused on proxy warfare. Numerous scholars argued that indirect confrontation through non-state armed groups constituted the defining characteristic of the rivalry. Studies examined conflicts in Lebanon, Syria, Iraq, and Gaza, demonstrating how regional theatres became arenas of strategic competition. Proxy warfare was widely interpreted as an effective mechanism for pursuing geopolitical objectives while reducing the political and military risks associated with direct interstate conflict.

More recent scholarship, however, has highlighted important changes in the nature of the rivalry. Advances in cyber technology, artificial intelligence, precision-guided weaponry, unmanned aerial systems, and electronic warfare have transformed contemporary security environments. Researchers increasingly recognize that Israel and Iran compete across multiple domains simultaneously, integrating conventional military capabilities with cyber operations, intelligence activities, economic coercion, strategic communication, and diplomatic initiatives.

The emergence of a more multipolar international system has further expanded scholarly interest in the broader geopolitical implications of the conflict. Studies now examine how strategic competition between major powers influences Middle Eastern security dynamics and how regional rivalries intersect with global political and economic transformations.

Despite these important contributions, existing literature continues to exhibit certain limitations. Much of the scholarship remains organized around individual themes such as nuclear diplomacy or proxy warfare without adequately integrating the multiple dimensions of strategic competition into a comprehensive analytical framework. Similarly, relatively few studies explicitly examine how technological transformation and evolving patterns of interstate competition have reshaped Israel–Iran relations during the twenty-first century.

This study addresses these gaps by examining Israel–Iran relations as a multidimensional strategic competition extending beyond proxy warfare. It integrates military, political, technological, economic, diplomatic, and regional perspectives to provide a more comprehensive understanding of one of the most consequential geopolitical rivalries of the contemporary international system.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative research design based on descriptive and analytical methods. It relies primarily on secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, policy papers, official government publications, reports produced by international organizations, and analyses published by recognized research institutions specializing in international relations and Middle Eastern security.

The research employs content analysis to identify recurring themes, strategic patterns, and evolving trends in Israel–Iran relations. Comparative analysis is used to examine the changing instruments of strategic competition employed by both states across military, diplomatic, economic, technological, and cyber domains. Particular attention is given to developments during the twenty-first century, when technological innovation and regional geopolitical transformations significantly altered the character of interstate rivalry.

The study does not seek to predict future military developments. Instead, it analyses observable strategic behaviour and evaluates its implications for regional stability, international security, and global governance. While reliance on secondary data limits direct empirical observation, the use of diverse scholarly and institutional sources enables a comprehensive assessment of the evolving strategic competition between Israel and Iran.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND EVOLUTION OF THE CONFLICT

The contemporary strategic rivalry between Israel and Iran cannot be understood without examining the historical transformation of their bilateral relationship. Contrary to the perception that the two states have always been adversaries, Israel and Iran maintained a pragmatic partnership for nearly three decades following Israel's establishment in 1948. Although Iran did not formally recognize Israel in the same manner as many Western countries, the government of Shah

Mohammad Reza Pahlavi viewed Israel as an important strategic partner in a region dominated by Arab nationalism and Cold War rivalries. Both states shared concerns regarding Soviet expansion, political instability, and the emergence of hostile regional actors. Cooperation developed in intelligence sharing, trade, military affairs, and energy, creating a mutually beneficial strategic relationship.

The 1979 Islamic Revolution fundamentally altered this relationship. The establishment of the Islamic Republic under Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini transformed Iran's domestic political system and redirected its foreign policy priorities. Israel, previously regarded as a strategic partner, became a central target of Iran's revolutionary ideology. The new leadership portrayed support for the Palestinian cause as both a religious obligation and a political principle, while rejecting Israel's legitimacy. Diplomatic relations were severed, and Iran increasingly positioned itself as a leading opponent of Israeli influence in the Middle East.

During the 1980s, direct confrontation between Israel and Iran remained limited. Iran was primarily engaged in the prolonged Iran–Iraq War, while Israel focused on conflicts involving neighbouring Arab states and developments in Lebanon. Nevertheless, both countries gradually began to perceive each other as long-term strategic competitors. Iran's growing regional influence and Israel's close relationship with the United States contributed to mutual suspicion, laying the foundations for a sustained security rivalry.

The end of the Cold War introduced a new geopolitical environment that further intensified bilateral tensions. The collapse of bipolarity created opportunities for regional powers to pursue more ambitious foreign policies. Iran sought to expand its diplomatic and political influence throughout the Middle East, while Israel increasingly focused on preventing the emergence of new strategic threats. During this period, questions surrounding missile development, nuclear technology, and regional alliances gained greater prominence in the security calculations of both states.

The early twenty-first century marked a significant turning point. Regional instability following the conflicts in Afghanistan and Iraq, the changing political landscape after the Arab uprisings, and the emergence of new security threats transformed the strategic environment. Both Israel and Iran expanded their military capabilities while simultaneously investing in cyber technology, intelligence networks, missile defence systems, and precision-guided weaponry. The rivalry consequently evolved from a largely ideological confrontation into a comprehensive strategic competition encompassing multiple domains.

The Syrian civil war represented another major phase in the evolution of the conflict. The crisis created opportunities for both countries to expand their regional influence while supporting opposing political and military actors. Israeli military operations increasingly focused on preventing the transfer of advanced weaponry and limiting the establishment of military infrastructure perceived as threatening its security. Iran, meanwhile, viewed its regional partnerships as essential components of a broader deterrence strategy designed to preserve influence and counter external pressure.

Recent developments demonstrate that the rivalry has become increasingly direct. Missile exchanges, cyber operations, intelligence activities, targeted strikes, and diplomatic competition now occur alongside traditional proxy engagements. Although both governments continue to avoid prolonged conventional war, the threshold for direct confrontation has gradually declined. As a result, the Israel–Iran relationship has entered a new phase in which competition extends across military, political, technological, economic, and diplomatic domains simultaneously.

The historical evolution of the conflict therefore illustrates a broader transformation in the nature of international security. The rivalry has moved beyond ideological confrontation and proxy warfare to become one of the most complex examples of multidimensional strategic competition in contemporary international relations.

STRATEGIC COMPETITION BEYOND PROXY WARFARE

For decades, proxy warfare served as the dominant analytical framework for understanding Israel–Iran relations. Indirect confrontation through allied armed groups, intelligence networks, and regional partners enabled both states to pursue strategic objectives while avoiding the political, economic, and military costs associated with conventional interstate war. Although proxy conflict remains an important component of the rivalry, it no longer captures the full complexity of contemporary strategic competition. The twenty-first century has witnessed the expansion of the conflict into multiple interconnected domains that collectively redefine the regional security landscape.

Nuclear Competition and Strategic Deterrence

The nuclear issue remains the most consequential strategic dimension of Israel–Iran relations. Israel regards the possibility of a nuclear-armed Iran as a fundamental threat to its national security and regional military superiority. Consequently, successive Israeli governments have pursued a strategy combining diplomacy, intelligence gathering, military preparedness, and international advocacy to prevent nuclear proliferation in the region.

Iran, meanwhile, maintains that its nuclear programme is intended for peaceful purposes, including scientific research, medical applications, and civilian energy production. Nevertheless, the expansion of uranium enrichment capabilities, advanced centrifuge technology, and missile development has generated significant concern among regional and international actors.

Beyond questions of weaponization, the nuclear issue represents a broader contest over deterrence, prestige, technological capability, and regional influence. It has become a focal point of international diplomacy involving the United States, European powers, Russia, China, and international organizations, illustrating how bilateral rivalry increasingly shapes global security governance.

Cyber Warfare as a New Strategic Battlefield

Cyber operations have fundamentally transformed the character of Israel–Iran competition. Unlike conventional military conflict, cyber activities allow states to achieve strategic objectives while maintaining varying degrees of ambiguity regarding responsibility and attribution.

Both countries have invested heavily in offensive and defensive cyber capabilities targeting critical infrastructure, communication systems, financial institutions, transportation networks, and strategic industries. Cyber operations provide opportunities to gather intelligence, disrupt military planning, influence decision-making, and demonstrate technological superiority without resorting to conventional armed conflict.

The emergence of cyberspace as a strategic domain reflects broader changes in international security. Modern conflict increasingly depends upon information superiority, digital resilience, and technological innovation, making cyber capabilities indispensable components of national power.

Intelligence Competition

Intelligence agencies have become central actors in Israel–Iran strategic competition. Intelligence gathering, counter-intelligence, surveillance, covert operations, and strategic analysis influence political decision-making and military planning in both countries.

The importance of intelligence extends beyond traditional espionage. Contemporary intelligence operations integrate satellite imagery, artificial intelligence, cyber surveillance, electronic monitoring, and open-source information analysis. These capabilities enable governments to monitor military developments, anticipate emerging threats, and shape strategic responses.

Intelligence competition also illustrates the increasing fusion of technology and national security, where information has become a critical strategic resource comparable to military strength.

Military Modernization and Technological Innovation

The rivalry has accelerated military modernization on both sides. Investments in precision-guided missiles, integrated air defence systems, unmanned aerial vehicles, electronic warfare capabilities, satellite technologies, and artificial intelligence have transformed the regional balance of military capabilities.

Technological innovation has reduced dependence on traditional military doctrines while increasing the importance of rapid decision-making, network-centric warfare, and precision targeting. Modern military competition therefore extends beyond the size of armed forces to include research capacity, technological innovation, industrial resilience, and digital infrastructure.

The growing role of autonomous systems and artificial intelligence further illustrates how emerging technologies are reshaping strategic competition in the Middle East.

Diplomatic Competition and Regional Realignments

Strategic competition increasingly occurs through diplomacy as both states seek to expand regional influence and strengthen international partnerships. Israel has pursued greater diplomatic engagement with several Arab states, reflecting changing perceptions of regional security and shared concerns regarding instability.

Iran has simultaneously expanded its diplomatic outreach through political, economic, and security partnerships across Asia and the Middle East. These initiatives demonstrate that contemporary competition involves coalition building and international legitimacy as much as military capability. Diplomatic competition therefore complements military strategy by influencing regional alignments, international negotiations, and perceptions of political legitimacy.

Economic Statecraft and Sanctions

Economic instruments have become increasingly significant within the broader strategic rivalry. International sanctions, financial restrictions, export controls, and limitations on access to international markets influence national economic performance and strategic decision-making. Economic pressure aims not only to constrain military capabilities but also to influence diplomatic behaviour and domestic political calculations. Conversely, economic resilience has become an important element of national security strategy, encouraging investment in domestic industries, technological self-sufficiency, and diversified international partnerships.

The growing importance of economic statecraft reflects the broader transformation of international competition, where financial systems and trade networks are increasingly integrated into geopolitical strategy.

Maritime Security and Energy Geopolitics

The strategic significance of the Middle East's maritime environment has intensified Israel–Iran competition. International shipping routes, offshore energy infrastructure, and critical sea lanes have become increasingly important components of regional security.

Disruptions affecting maritime commerce can influence global energy markets, transportation costs, and international trade. Consequently, maritime security has evolved into a strategic concern extending well beyond regional politics. The protection of commercial navigation, energy infrastructure, and critical maritime chokepoints has become an essential component of broader national security planning.

Information and Strategic Communication

Information has emerged as an increasingly important instrument of geopolitical competition. Governments employ official statements, digital media, public diplomacy, and strategic messaging to influence domestic audiences, shape international opinion, and reinforce national narratives.

Strategic communication seeks not only to justify policy decisions but also to influence perceptions among allies, adversaries, and international institutions. In an era characterized by rapid information exchange, narrative competition has become an integral component of national strategy.

Collectively, these developments demonstrate that Israel–Iran relations have evolved far beyond the traditional framework of proxy warfare. Contemporary strategic competition encompasses military power, diplomacy, economics, cyber capabilities, technology, intelligence, maritime security, and information management, making it one of the most multidimensional interstate rivalries in the contemporary international system.

REGIONAL AND GLOBAL IMPLICATIONS

The strategic competition between Israel and Iran has implications that extend well beyond their bilateral relationship. As both states seek to protect their national interests and expand their regional influence, their rivalry has become a central determinant of Middle Eastern security and an increasingly important factor in global geopolitics. The interaction of military deterrence, diplomatic competition, technological innovation, and economic statecraft has transformed the conflict into an issue with consequences for regional stability, international institutions, energy security, and the broader international order.

Implications for Regional Security

The Middle East has experienced repeated cycles of instability over the past several decades, and the Israel–Iran rivalry has become one of the principal drivers of this insecurity. The competition has contributed to an increasingly fragmented regional security environment in which interstate tensions intersect with domestic political crises and transnational security challenges.

The rivalry has also intensified the regional security dilemma. Measures adopted by one state to enhance its own security are frequently interpreted by the other as offensive, prompting countermeasures that further increase mistrust. Military modernization, missile defence systems, cyber capabilities, and intelligence operations therefore reinforce a cycle of strategic competition that makes long-term conflict management more difficult.

Regional governments are increasingly compelled to adjust their foreign and security policies in response to this rivalry. Some states have sought closer security cooperation with Israel, while others have maintained political or economic engagement with Iran. These differing approaches have contributed to a complex regional balance of power in which alliances are becoming increasingly fluid rather than permanently fixed.

Transformation of Middle Eastern Security Architecture

One of the most significant consequences of Israel–Iran competition is the transformation of the Middle East's security architecture. Traditional patterns of regional politics, which were once largely shaped by Arab–Israeli conflicts, have gradually evolved into a broader strategic environment characterized by competition among multiple regional powers.

The growing importance of missile technology, cyber capabilities, intelligence cooperation, and maritime security has expanded the range of security concerns beyond conventional military conflict. Regional security is now influenced by technological innovation, economic resilience, information warfare, and critical infrastructure protection.

This transformation demonstrates that contemporary security challenges increasingly require multidimensional policy responses rather than purely military solutions.

Implications for Global Energy Security

Energy remains one of the most significant links between the Israel–Iran rivalry and the global economy. The Middle East continues to account for a substantial share of the world's oil and natural gas production, making regional stability essential for international energy markets.

Periods of heightened tension frequently generate uncertainty regarding maritime transportation routes, insurance costs, investment decisions, and global commodity prices. Even in the absence of prolonged military confrontation, geopolitical uncertainty may influence international financial markets and contribute to fluctuations in global energy prices.

Consequently, governments outside the region closely monitor developments between Israel and Iran because of their potential impact on economic growth, inflation, and international trade.

Maritime Security and International Trade

Maritime security has become an increasingly important dimension of contemporary international relations. Strategic waterways connecting the Middle East to global markets carry a significant proportion of international energy exports and commercial shipping.

Any disruption affecting these maritime routes has implications extending beyond regional politics. Increased transportation costs, delays in commercial shipping, and uncertainty surrounding maritime security influence international supply chains and global economic stability.

The strategic importance of maritime commerce has therefore encouraged greater international naval cooperation while reinforcing the broader geopolitical significance of the Israel–Iran rivalry.

Nuclear Governance and International Diplomacy

The rivalry has also influenced international approaches to nuclear governance and non-proliferation. Diplomatic efforts aimed at addressing concerns surrounding Iran's nuclear programme have involved major global powers and international organizations, demonstrating how regional disputes increasingly require multilateral engagement.

The continued importance of nuclear diplomacy illustrates both the strengths and limitations of international institutions. While negotiations have occasionally reduced tensions, recurring political disagreements and changing strategic calculations have complicated efforts to establish durable diplomatic solutions.

The Israel–Iran case therefore highlights the continuing challenges associated with maintaining effective international non-proliferation regimes within an increasingly competitive geopolitical environment.

Great-Power Competition and the Emerging Multipolar Order

The rivalry increasingly intersects with broader patterns of global power competition. The United States has traditionally regarded Israel as a major strategic partner in the Middle East, while simultaneously pursuing different diplomatic and economic approaches toward Iran over successive administrations.

At the same time, Russia and China have expanded their political, economic, and strategic engagement within the region. These developments demonstrate that Middle Eastern geopolitics can no longer be understood solely through regional dynamics. Instead, regional rivalries increasingly reflect the interaction between local actors and the strategic interests of major global powers.

The emergence of a more multipolar international order has therefore increased both the complexity and the global significance of Israel–Iran relations.

Technological Competition and the Future of Warfare

The Israel–Iran rivalry provides important insights into the future character of interstate conflict. Cyber warfare, artificial intelligence, autonomous systems, satellite technologies, electronic warfare, and precision-guided weapons have become central components of national security strategy.

These developments indicate that future conflicts are likely to depend as much upon technological superiority and information dominance as upon conventional military strength. Governments worldwide increasingly recognize that investments in cyber resilience, digital infrastructure, and technological innovation are essential components of national defence.

Consequently, the rivalry serves as an important case study for understanding how technological transformation is reshaping international security.

Implications for International Law and Global Governance

The multidimensional nature of the conflict presents significant challenges for international law and global governance. Existing legal frameworks were developed primarily to regulate conventional interstate warfare and often struggle to address emerging forms of competition such as cyber operations, autonomous technologies, economic coercion, and strategic information campaigns.

International organizations therefore face increasing pressure to develop new mechanisms capable of addressing evolving security challenges while preserving regional stability and international peace.

Strengthening multilateral cooperation, promoting transparency, and enhancing dialogue remain essential components of effective conflict management within an increasingly interconnected international system.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Given the multidimensional nature of Israel–Iran strategic competition, policy responses should extend beyond military deterrence and crisis management. Sustainable regional stability requires political dialogue, institutional cooperation, technological governance, and confidence-building measures that address the structural causes of insecurity.

First, renewed diplomatic engagement should remain the primary mechanism for reducing tensions. Although political disagreements remain substantial, sustained communication can reduce misunderstandings, prevent unintended escalation, and create opportunities for limited cooperation on issues of mutual concern.

Second, regional security dialogue should be strengthened through multilateral forums involving both regional and extra-regional stakeholders. Such initiatives could facilitate discussions on maritime security, crisis communication, arms control, and confidence-building measures.

Third, greater international cooperation is required to develop norms governing cyber operations and emerging military technologies. Establishing common standards for responsible state behaviour in cyberspace would reduce uncertainty and improve regional stability.

Fourth, maritime security cooperation should be expanded to protect commercial navigation and critical energy infrastructure. International collaboration in maritime surveillance, information sharing, and emergency response would strengthen regional resilience against security disruptions.

Fifth, renewed support for nuclear diplomacy remains essential. Although political obstacles persist, negotiated solutions continue to offer the most effective means of reducing long-term security risks while strengthening international non-proliferation efforts.

Finally, regional economic cooperation should receive greater attention. Expanding trade, infrastructure development, scientific collaboration, and humanitarian initiatives may contribute to reducing tensions by creating shared economic interests that discourage prolonged confrontation.

CONCLUSION

The strategic rivalry between Israel and Iran has undergone a profound transformation during the twenty-first century. While proxy warfare remains an important element of the relationship, it no longer adequately explains the complexity of contemporary competition. Instead, the rivalry has evolved into a multidimensional strategic contest encompassing military modernization, cyber operations, intelligence competition, nuclear deterrence, economic statecraft, maritime security, technological innovation, and diplomatic realignment.

This evolution reflects broader transformations within the international system, where states increasingly integrate conventional and non-conventional instruments of national power to achieve strategic objectives. The Israel–Iran case demonstrates that modern interstate rivalry extends beyond battlefield confrontation to include competition across political, economic, technological, informational, and diplomatic domains.

The study further demonstrates that the consequences of this rivalry extend well beyond the Middle East. Regional instability influences global energy markets, international trade, maritime security, nuclear governance, and great-power competition. Consequently, Israel–Iran relations have become a significant factor in the evolving architecture of global security and the emerging multipolar international order.

Future regional stability will depend upon the ability of governments, international organizations, and regional institutions to adapt to these changing security realities. Diplomatic engagement, confidence-building measures, technological governance, and multilateral cooperation will remain essential for reducing strategic tensions and preventing unintended escalation.

Ultimately, understanding Israel–Iran relations through the broader framework of multidimensional strategic competition provides a more comprehensive explanation of one of the most consequential geopolitical rivalries of the contemporary era. As the nature of conflict continues to evolve, this perspective offers valuable insights into the future of international security, regional order, and global governance.

REFERENCES

1. Allison, G. T. (1971). *Essence of decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*. Little, Brown.
2. Baylis, J., Smith, S., & Owens, P. (2023). *The globalization of world politics: An introduction to international relations* (9th ed.). Oxford University Press.
3. Byman, D. (2018). *The changing nature of proxy warfare*. Brookings Institution Press.
4. Byman, D. (2021). Proxy wars in the Middle East. *The Washington Quarterly*, 44(2), 157–174.
5. Buzan, B., & Wæver, O. (2003). *Regions and powers: The structure of international security*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Cordesman, A. H. (2020). *Iran's military forces and warfighting capabilities*. Center for Strategic and International Studies.
7. Freedman, L. (2017). *The future of war: A history*. PublicAffairs.
8. Gause, F. G. (2014). *Beyond sectarianism: The new Middle East Cold War*. Brookings Institution Press.
9. Geist Pinfold, R., Jones, C., & Ehteshami, A. (2025). Collision course: How Iran and Israel brought the Middle East to the brink of war. *Global Policy*, 16(2), 289–298. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.70004>
10. International Atomic Energy Agency. (2023). *Verification and monitoring in the Islamic Republic of Iran in light of United Nations Security Council Resolution 2231 (2015)*.
11. International Crisis Group. (2023). *Managing tensions between Israel and Iran*. International Crisis Group.
12. Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (2012). *Power and interdependence* (4th ed.). Pearson.

13. Kissinger, H. (2014). *World order*. Penguin Press.
14. Mearsheimer, J. J. (2001). *The tragedy of great power politics*. W. W. Norton.
15. Mearsheimer, J. J. (2019). *Bound to fail: The rise and fall of the liberal international order*. *International Security*, 43(4), 7–50.
16. Menashri, D. (2007). *Iran, Israel and the Middle East conflict*. *Iranian Studies*, 40(1), 107–130.
17. Miller, B. (2018). *Regional conflicts and regional security*. *International Studies Review*, 20(2), 255–279.
18. Morgenthau, H. J. (2006). *Politics among nations: The struggle for power and peace* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
19. Nye, J. S. (2011). *The future of power*. PublicAffairs.
20. Posen, B. R. (2014). *Restraint: A new foundation for U.S. grand strategy*. Cornell University Press.
21. Scharre, P. (2018). *Army of none: Autonomous weapons and the future of war*. W. W. Norton.
22. SIPRI. (2024). *SIPRI Yearbook 2024: Armaments, disarmament and international security*. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute.
23. United Nations. (1945). *Charter of the United Nations*.
24. United Nations. (2023). *Report of the Secretary-General on the implementation of Security Council Resolution 2231 (2015)*.
25. Walt, S. M. (1987). *The origins of alliances*. Cornell University Press.
26. Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of international politics*. Addison-Wesley.
27. Wendt, A. (1999). *Social theory of international politics*. Cambridge University Press.
28. Zakaria, F. (2008). *The post-American world*. W. W. Norton.

